2
Basic Concepts in Auditing

Question 1

Write a short note on Substantive Procedures.

(4 Marks, November, 2014) (5 Marks, May, 2010) (4 Marks, May, 2004)

Answer

Substantive Procedures: These procedures are audit tests designed to obtain evidence to verify balance of an account or a specific financial statement assertion i.e. they test the validity and propriety of the accounting treatment of the transaction. They can be classified as either test of details of transactions and balances or as analytical review procedures. They provide assurance to the auditor in respect of the following assertions-

(i) The asset or a liability should exist at a given date.

(ii) The asset should be owned by the entity and the liability is an obligation of the entity at a given date.

(iii) There should not be any unrecorded assets, liabilities or transactions.

(iv) Assets or liabilities should be recorded at appropriate carrying values.

(v) Transaction or event that took place should pertain to the entity during the relevant period.

(vi) Transaction should be recorded in the proper amount and revenue or expense should be allocated to the proper period.

(vii) Various items should be disclosed, classified, and described in accordance with recognised accounting policies and practices and relevant statutory requirements, if any.

Question 2

State with reasons (in short) whether the following statement is correct or incorrect:

Specific disclosure is required of the fundamental accounting assumptions followed in the financial statements. *(2 Marks, May, 2014)*

Answer

Incorrect: As per AS 1 "Disclosure of Accounting Policies", specific disclosure of the fundamental accounting assumption is required if they are not followed in the financial statements.

Question 3

"The reliability of audit evidence is influenced by its source, nature and circumstances under which it is obtained". Discuss. *(5 Marks, November, 2013)*

Or

Write a short note on Reliability of Audit Evidence.

(4 Marks, November, 2011) (5 Marks, May, 2008)

Answer

Reliability of Audit Evidence: SA 500 on "Audit Evidence" provides that the reliability of information to be used as audit evidence, and therefore of the audit evidence itself, is influenced by its source and its nature, and the circumstances under which it is obtained, including the controls over its preparation and maintenance where relevant. Therefore, generalisations about the reliability of various kinds of audit evidence are subject to important exceptions. Even when information to be used as audit evidence is obtained from sources external to the entity, circumstances may exist that could affect its reliability. For example, information obtained from an independent external source may not be reliable if the source is not knowledgeable, or a management's expert may lack objectivity. While recognising that exceptions may exist, the following generalisations about the reliability of audit evidence may be useful-

(i) The reliability of audit evidence is increased when it is obtained from independent sources outside the entity.

(ii) The reliability of audit evidence that is generated internally is increased when the related controls, including those over its preparation and maintenance, imposed by the entity are effective.

(iii) Audit evidence obtained directly by the auditor (for example, observation of the application of a control) is more reliable than audit evidence obtained indirectly or by inference (for example, inquiry about the application of a control).

(iv) Audit evidence in documentary form, whether paper, electronic, or other medium, is more reliable than evidence obtained orally (for example, a contemporaneously written record of a meeting is more reliable than a subsequent oral representation of the matters discussed).

(v) Audit evidence provided by original documents is more reliable than audit evidence provided by photocopies or facsimiles, or documents that have been filmed, digitised or otherwise transformed into electronic form, the reliability of which may depend on the controls over their preparation and maintenance.

Question 4

State with reason (in short) whether the following statement is correct or incorrect:

"Financial Statements should show "True and correct" view of the affairs of the entity".

(2 Marks, November, 2013)

Answer

Incorrect: Financial statements are frequently described as showing a true and fair view of the financial position, performance and cash flows of an enterprise. The application of the principal qualitative characteristics and of appropriate accounting standards normally results in financial statements that convey what is generally understood as a true and fair view of such information.

There has been a shift of emphasis from arithmetical accuracy to the question of reliability to the financial statements. A statement may be reliable even though there are some errors or even frauds, provided they are not so big as to vitiate the picture. The word "correct" was somewhat misplaced as the accounting largely consists of estimates.

Question 5

"Inquiry is one of the audit procedure to obtain audit evidence". Discuss. (5 Marks, May, 2013)

Answer

Inquiry – Audit Procedure to obtain Audit Evidence: Inquiry consists of seeking information of knowledgeable persons, both, financial and non- financial, within the entity or outside the entity. Inquiry is used extensively throughout the audit in addition to other audit procedures. Inquiries may range from formal written inquiries to informal oral inquiries. Evaluating responses to inquiries is an integral part of the inquiry process.

Responses to inquiries may provide the auditor with information not previously possessed or with corroborative audit evidence. Alternatively, responses might provide information that differs significantly from other information that the auditor has obtained, for example, information regarding the possibility of management override of controls. In some cases, responses to inquiries provide a basis for the auditor to modify or perform additional audit procedures.

Although corroboration of evidence obtained through inquiry is often of particular importance, in the case of inquiries about management intent, the information available to support management's intent may be limited. In these cases, understanding management's past history of carrying out its stated intentions, management's stated reasons for choosing a particular course of action, and management's ability to pursue a specific course of action may provide relevant information to corroborate the evidence obtained through inquiry. In respect of some matters, the auditor may consider it necessary to obtain written representations from management and, where appropriate, those charged with governance to confirm responses to oral inquiries.

Question 6

"Compliance procedures are tests designed to obtain audit evidence as to completeness, accuracy and validity of data produced by accounting system". Comment. (2 Marks, May, 2013)

Answer

Compliance procedures are tests designed to obtain reasonable assurance that those internal controls on which audit reliance is to be placed are in effect. Here auditor is concerned with assertions that the control exists and is operating effectively.

Question 7

Write a short note on Assertion about balance at the end of the reporting period.

(4 Marks, May, 2013)

Answer

Assertions about 'Account Balances' at the end of the Reporting Period: Assertions about account balances at the period end are-

(i) Existence—assets, liabilities, and equity interests exist.

(ii) Rights and obligations—the entity holds or controls the rights to assets, and liabilities are the obligations of the entity.

(iii) Completeness—all assets, liabilities and equity interests that should have been recorded have been recorded.

(iv) Valuation and allocation—assets, liabilities, and equity interests are included in the financial statements at appropriate amounts and any resulting valuation or allocation adjustments are appropriately recorded.

Question 8

(a) Explain the concept of "True and Fair" view. *(6 Marks, November, 2012)*

Or

Discuss the concept of "True and Fair". *(8 Marks, May, 2005)*

(b) Mention the areas in which differing accounting policies are encountered and how that would be disclosed? *(10 Marks, November, 2012)*

Or

State any ten areas in which different accounting policies may be encountered.

(5 Marks, November, 2007)

Answer

(a) Concept of "True and Fair": The concept of "true and fair" is a fundamental concept in auditing. The phrase "true and fair" in the auditor's report signifies that the auditor is required to express his opinion as to whether the state of affairs and the results of the entity as ascertained by him in the course of his audit are truly and fairly represented in the accounts under audit.

This requires that the auditor should examine the accounts with a view to verifying that all assets and liabilities, incomes and expenses are stated at the amounts which are in

accordance with accounting principles and policies, and no material item has been omitted.

The importance of the concept of true and fair view can also be understood and appreciated from the fact that sections 128, 129 and 143 of the Companies Act, 2013 also discuss this concept in relation to account books, financial statements and reporting on financial statements respectively.

Section 128(1) of the said Act provides that every company shall prepare and keep at its registered office books of account and other relevant books and papers and financial statement for every financial year which give a true and fair view of the state of the affairs of the company, including that of its branch office or offices, if any. The company shall be in a position to explain the transactions effected both at the registered office and its branches. Such books of accounts shall be kept on accrual basis and according to the double entry system of accounting.

Section 129(1) of the Companies Act, 2013 provides that the financial statements shall give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the company or companies, comply with the accounting standards notified under section 133 of the Companies Act, 2013, (in which the Central Government may prescribe the standards of accounting or any addendum thereto, as recommended by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, constituted under section 3 of the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949, in consultation with and after examination of the recommendations made by the National Financial Reporting Authority) and shall be in the form or forms as may be provided for different class or classes of companies in Schedule III to the said Act.

The term "financial statement" shall include any notes annexed to or forming part of such financial statement, giving information required to be given and allowed to be given in the form of such notes under the said Act.

It may be noted that nothing contained in sub-section (1) of section 129 shall apply to any insurance or banking company or any company engaged in the generation or supply of electricity, or to any other class of company for which a form of financial statement has been specified in or under the Act governing such class of company.

However, the financial statements shall not be treated as not disclosing a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the company, merely by reason of the fact that they do not disclose-

(a) in the case of an insurance company, any matters which are not required to be disclosed by the Insurance Act, 1938, or the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority Act, 1999;

(b) in the case of a banking company, any matters which are not required to be disclosed by the Banking Regulation Act, 1949;

(c) in the case of a company engaged in the generation or supply of electricity, any matters which are not required to be disclosed by the Electricity Act, 2003;

(d) in the case of a company governed by any other law for the time being in force, any matters which are not required to be disclosed by that law.

It may be noted that where the financial statements of a company do not comply with the accounting standards referred to in sub-section (1), the company shall disclose in its financial statements, the deviation from the accounting standards, the reasons for such deviation and the financial effects, if any, arising out of such deviation.

Further, according to section 143(2) of the said Act, the auditor is required to make a report to the members of the company indicating that, to the best of his information and knowledge, the financial statements give a true and fair view of the state of the company's affairs as at the end of its financial year and profit or loss and cash flow for the year and such other matters as may be prescribed.

SA 700 "Forming an Opinion and Reporting on Financial Statements", requires the auditor to form an opinion on the financial statements based on an evaluation of the conclusions drawn from the audit evidence obtained; and express clearly that opinion through a written report that also describes the basis for the opinion. The auditor is required to express his opinion on the financial statements that it gives a true and fair view in conformity with the accounting principles generally accepted in India (a) in the case of the Balance Sheet, of the state of affairs of the Company as at March 31, 20XX; (b) in the case of the Statement of Profit and Loss, of the profit/ loss for the year ended on that date; and (c) in the case of the Cash Flow Statement, of the cash flows for the year ended on that date.

(b) Areas in which Different Accounting Policies may be encountered are:

(i) Method of depreciation, depletion and amortization-Straight Line Method, Written Down Value method.

(ii) Valuation of inventories – FIFO, LIFO, weighted average etc.

(iii) Treatment of goodwill – write off, retain.

(iv) Valuation of investment – at cost, market or net realizable value etc.

(v) Treatment of retirement benefits – Actuarial, funded through trust, insurance policy etc.

(vi) Valuation of fixed assets – historical cost, revaluation price, exchange fluctuation etc.

Note: (The above list is not exhaustive. There may be other examples as well.)

Disclosure of Accounting Policies: The purpose of AS-1 is to promote better understanding of financial statements by establishing through an accounting standard the disclosure of significant accounting policies and the manner in which accounting policies are disclosed in the financial statements. Such disclosure would also facilitate a more meaningful comparison between financial statements of different enterprises.

All significant accounting policies adopted in the preparation and presentation of financial statements should be disclosed. Such disclosure should form part of the financial statements.

It would be helpful to the reader of financial statements if they are all disclosed at one place instead of being scattered over several statements, schedules and notes and form part of financial statements.

Any change in an accounting policy which has a material effect should be disclosed. The amount by which any item in the financial statements is affected by such change should also be disclosed to the extent ascertainable. Where such amount is not ascertainable, wholly or in part, the fact should be indicated. If a change is made in the accounting policies which has no material effect on the financial statements for the current period but which is reasonably expected to have a material effect in later periods, the fact of such change should be appropriately disclosed in the period in which the change is adopted.

Question 9

Explain "Going Concern" assumption with reference to SA. State some financial events or conditions that may cast doubt about going concern assumption. (8 Marks, May, 2012)

Answer

Going Concern Assumption: SA 570, "Going Concern" deals with the auditor's responsibility in the audit of financial statements with respect to management's use of the going concern assumption in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements.

Under the going concern assumption, an entity is viewed as continuing in business for the foreseeable future. General purpose financial statements are prepared on a going concern basis, unless management either intends to liquidate the entity or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so. Special purpose financial statements may or may not be prepared in accordance with a financial reporting framework for which the going concern basis is relevant. When the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate, assets and liabilities are recorded on the basis that the entity will be able to realise its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business.

Financial events or conditions that may cast doubt about Going Concern Assumption: Following are the examples of events or conditions that, individually or collectively, may cast significant doubt about the going concern assumption-

(i) Net liability or net current liability position.

(ii) Fixed-term borrowings approaching maturity without realistic prospects of renewal or repayment; or excessive reliance on short-term borrowings to finance long-term assets.

(iii) Indications of withdrawal of financial support by trade payables.

(iv) Negative operating cash flows indicated by historical or prospective financial statements.

(v) Adverse key financial ratios.

(vi) Substantial operating losses or significant deterioration in the value of assets used to generate cash flows.

(vii) Arrears or discontinuance of dividends.

(viii) Inability to pay trade payables on due dates.

(ix) Inability to comply with the terms of loan agreements.

(x) Change from credit to cash-on-delivery transactions with suppliers.

(xi) Inability to obtain financing for essential new product development or other essential investments.

Question 10

Explain audit procedures to obtain audit evidence. *(8 Marks, May, 2011)*

Answer

Audit Procedures to obtain Audit Evidence: The auditor may obtain evidence in performing compliance and substantive procedures by one or more of the following audit procedures-

(i) Inspection: Inspection involves examining records or documents, whether internal or external, in paper form, electronic form, or other media, or a physical examination of an asset. Inspection of records and documents provides audit evidence of varying degrees of reliability, depending on their nature and source and, in the case of internal records and documents, on the effectiveness of the controls over their production. An example of inspection used as a test of controls is inspection of records for evidence of authorisation.

Some documents represent direct audit evidence of the existence of an asset, for example, a document constituting a financial instrument such as a stock or bond. Inspection of such documents may not necessarily provide audit evidence about ownership or value. In addition, inspecting an executed contract may provide audit evidence relevant to the entity's application of accounting policies, such as revenue recognition.

Inspection of tangible assets may provide reliable audit evidence with respect to their existence, but not necessarily about the entity's rights and obligations or the valuation of the assets. Inspection of individual inventory items may accompany the observation of inventory counting.

(ii) Observation: Observation consists of looking at a process or procedure being performed by the others, for example, the auditor's observation of inventory counting by the entity's personnel, or of the performance of control activities. Observation provides audit evidence about the performance of a process or procedure, but is limited to the point in time at which the observation takes place, and by the fact that the act of being observed may affect how the process or procedure is performed.

(iii) External Confirmation: An external confirmation represents audit evidence obtained by the auditor as a direct written response to the auditor from a third party (the confirming party), in paper form, or by electronic or other medium. External confirmation procedures frequently are relevant when addressing assertions associated with certain account balances and their elements. However, external confirmations need not be restricted to account balances only. For example, the auditor may request confirmation of the terms of agreements or transactions an entity has with third parties; the confirmation request may be designed to ask if any modifications have been made to the agreement and, if so,

what the relevant details are. External confirmation procedures also are used to obtain audit evidence about the absence of certain conditions, for example, the absence of a "side agreement" that may influence revenue recognition.

(iv) Recalculation: Recalculation consists of checking the arithmetical accuracy of documents or records. Recalculation may be performed manually or electronically.

(v) Reperformance: It involves the auditor's independent execution of procedures or controls that were originally performed as part of the entity's internal control.

(vi) Analytical Procedure: Analytical procedures consist of evaluations of financial information made by a study of plausible relationships among both financial and non-financial data. Analytical procedures also encompass the investigation of identified fluctuations and relationships that are inconsistent with other relevant information or deviate significantly from predicted amounts.

(vii) Inquiry: Inquiry consists of seeking information of knowledgeable persons, both, financial and non- financial, within the entity or outside the entity. Inquiry is used extensively throughout the audit in addition to other audit procedures. Inquiries may range from formal written inquiries to informal oral inquiries. Evaluating responses to inquiries is an integral part of the inquiry process.

Question 11

What do you mean by the term 'Sufficient Appropriate Audit Evidence'? State various factors that help the auditor to ascertain as to what is sufficient appropriate audit evidence.

(6 Marks, Nov 2010)

Answer

Sufficient Appropriate Audit Evidence: SA 500 "Audit Evidence" requires that the auditor shall design and perform audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence.

SA 500 further expounds this concept. According to it, the sufficiency and appropriateness of audit evidence are interrelated. Sufficiency is the measure of the quantity of audit evidence. The quantity of audit evidence needed is affected by the auditor's assessment of the risks of misstatement (the higher the assessed risks, the more audit evidence is likely to be required) and also by the quality of such audit evidence (the higher the quality, the less may be required). Obtaining more audit evidence, however, may not compensate for its poor quality.

Appropriateness is the measure of the quality of audit evidence; that is, its relevance and its reliability in providing support for the conclusions on which the auditor's opinion is based. The reliability of evidence is influenced by its source and by its nature, and is dependent on the individual circumstances under which it is obtained.

SA 330 requires the auditor to conclude whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been obtained. Whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been obtained to reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level, and thereby enable the auditor to draw reasonable conclusions on which to base the auditor's opinion, is a matter of professional judgment.

Further, SA 200 contains discussion of such matters as the nature of audit procedures, the timeliness of financial reporting, and the balance between benefit and cost, which are relevant factors when the auditor exercises professional judgment regarding whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been obtained.

In general the various factors which may influence the auditor's judgment as to what is sufficient and appropriate audit evidence are as under:

(i) Degree of risk of misstatements which may be affected by factors such as the nature of items, adequacy of internal control, nature and size of businesses carried out by the entity, situations which may exert an unusual influence on management and the financial position of the entity.

(ii) The materiality of the item.

(iii) The experience gained during previous audits.

(iv) The results of auditing procedures, including fraud and errors which may have been found.

(v) The type of information available.

(vi) The trend indicated by accounting ratios and analysis.

Question 12

Explain concept of materiality and factors which act as guiding factors to this concept.

(6 Marks, November, 2009)

Answer

Concept of Materiality: SA 320 "Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit", establishes standards on the concept of materiality and the relationship with audit risk while conducting an audit. Hence, the auditor requires more reliable evidence in support of material items. SA 320 defines material items as relatively important and relevant items, i.e., items the knowledge of which would influence the decision of the user of financial statements. Financial statements materially affect if such statement is erroneously stated or omitted to be stated there in and economic decision of the users taken on the basis of such information is influenced by such misstatements or omissions.

The auditor has to ensure that such items are properly and distinctly disclosed in the financial statements.

The concept of materiality is fundamental to the process of accounting. It covers all the stages from recording to classification and presentation. It is very important for the auditor who has constantly to judge whether a particular item is material or not.

There is an inverse relationship between materiality and the degree of audit risk. The higher the materiality level, the lower the audit risk and vice versa. For example, the risk that a particular account balance or class of transactions could be misstated by an extremely large amount might be very low but the risk that it could be misstated by an extremely small amount might be very high.

Factors to be considered for determining materiality

(i) Item of materiality may be determined individually or in aggregate.

(ii) The materiality depends on the regulatory or legal considerations.

(iii) Materiality is not often reckoned with respect to quantitative details above. It has qualitative dimensions as well.

(iv) Even insignificant items in terms of quality may be material in special circumstances.

(v) Sometimes the materiality of an item in terms of quantity is described in law itself. For example, Schedule III requires disclosure of items of expenditures which are in excess of one percent of the revenue from operations or ₹ 1,00,000, whichever is higher.

(vi) An item whose impact is insignificant at present, but in future it may be significant, may be material item.

Question 13

In auditing, the auditor checks the specific assertions of the items appearing in the financial statements and opines about the overall assertions they signify. Explain specific assertions and overall assertions in this context. (10 Marks, June, 2009)

Answer

This Question is redundant in view of the latest Engagement and Quality Control Standards.

Question 14

Distinguish between Internal evidence and External evidence. (4 Marks, November, 2008)

Answer

Internal Evidence and External Evidence: Evidence which originates within the organization being audited is internal evidence. Example – sales invoice, copies of sales challan and forwarding note, goods received notes, inspection report, copies of cash memo, debit and credit notes, etc.

External evidence on the other hand is the evidence that originates outside the client's organization; for example, purchase invoice, supplier's challan and forward note, debit notes and credit notes coming from parties, quotations, confirmations, etc.

In an audit situation, the bulk of evidence that an auditor gets is internal in nature. However, substantial external evidence is also available to the auditor. Since in the origination of internal evidence, the client and his staff have the control, the auditor should be careful in putting reliance on such evidence. It is not suggested that they are to be suspected; but an auditor has to be alive to the possibilities of manipulation and creation of false and misleading evidence to suit the client or his staff. The external evidence is generally considered to be more reliable as they come from third parties who are not normally interested in manipulation of the accounting information of others. However, if the auditor has any reason to doubt the independence of any third party who has provided any material evidence e.g., an invoice of an associated concern, he should exercise greater vigilance in that matter. As an ordinary rule the auditor should try to match internal and external evidence as far as practicable. Where

external evidence is not readily available to match, the auditor should see to what extent the various internal evidence corroborate each other.

Question 15

State with reason (in short) whether the following statement is True or False:

"Compliance procedures are tests designed to obtain audit evidence as to completeness, accuracy and validity of the data produced by accounting system". (2 Marks, May, 2008)

Answer

False: Compliance procedures are tests designed to obtain reasonable assurance that those internal controls on which audit reliance is to be placed are in effect. Here auditor is concerned with assertions that the control exists and is operating effectively.

Question 16

What are the various assertions an auditor is concerned with while obtaining audit evidence from substantive procedure? (6 Marks, May, 2008)

Answer

Various Assertions that an Auditor is concerned: According to SA 315 "Identifying and Assessing the Risk of Material Misstatement Through Understanding the Entity and its Environment", assertions used by the auditor to consider the different types of potential misstatements that may occur fall into the following three categories and may take the following forms-

(a) Assertions about classes of transactions and events for the period under audit:

- (i) Occurrence—transactions and events that have been recorded have occurred and pertain to the entity.
- (ii) Completeness—all transactions and events that should have been recorded have been recorded.
- (iii) Accuracy—amounts and other data relating to recorded transactions and events have been recorded appropriately.
- (iv) Cut-off—transactions and events have been recorded in the correct accounting period.
- (v) Classification—transactions and events have been recorded in the proper accounts.

(b) Assertions about account balances at the period end:

- (i) Existence—assets, liabilities, and equity interests exist.
- (ii) Rights and obligations—the entity holds or controls the rights to assets, and liabilities are the obligations of the entity.
- (iii) Completeness—all assets, liabilities and equity interests that should have been recorded have been recorded.

(iv) Valuation and allocation—assets, liabilities, and equity interests are included in the financial statements at appropriate amounts and any resulting valuation or allocation adjustments are appropriately recorded.

(c) Assertions about presentation and disclosure:

(i) Occurrence and rights and obligations—disclosed events, transactions, and other matters have occurred and pertain to the entity.

(ii) Completeness—all disclosures that should have been included in the financial statements have been included.

(iii) Classification and understandability—financial information is appropriately presented and described, and disclosures are clearly expressed.

(iv) Accuracy and valuation—financial and other information are disclosed fairly and at appropriate amounts.

Question 17

State with reason (in short) whether the following statement is True or False:

"One of the techniques used for gathering evidence is substantial review".

(2 Marks, November, 2007)

Answer

False: One of the techniques used for obtaining evidence is analytical review procedure which consists of studying significant ratios and trends. (SA 500 "Audit Evidence")

Question 18

State with reason (in short) whether the following statement is true or false:

"An auditor is considered to lack independence, if the partner of the audit firm owns the building in which the client's business is situated". *(2 Marks, May, 2007)*

Answer

False: According to the Guidance Note issued by the ICAI on "Independence of Auditors", "Independence implies that the judgment of a person is not subordinate to the wishes or directions of another person who might have engaged him or to his own self interest." In this case of Renting of building to the client does not affect the independence.

Question 19

What are the obvious assertions in the following items appearing in the Financial Statements?

(i) Profit and Loss Statement

Travelling Expenditure ₹ 50,000

(ii) Balance Sheet

Debtors ₹ 2,00,000 *(2 Marks each, November, 2005)*

Answer

Assertion for the Items appearing in Financial Statements:

(i) Travelling Expenditure ₹ 50,000

- Expenditure has been actually incurred for the purpose of travelling.
- Travelling has been undertaken during the year under consideration.
- Total amount of expenditure incurred is ₹ 50,000 during the year.
- It has been treated as revenue expenditure and charged to Statement of Profit and Loss.

(ii) Trade Receivables ₹ 2,00,000

- These include all sales transaction occurred during the year.
- These have been recorded properly and occurred during the year.
- These constitute assets of the entity.
- These have been shown at proper value, i.e. after showing the deduction on account of provision for bad and doubtful debts.

Question 20

Explain with reference to the relevant Auditing and Assurance Standards, Appropriateness of going concern assumption? *(5 Marks, May, 2005)*

Answer

Appropriateness of Going Concern Assumption: As per SA 570 "Going Concern", in some enterprises, for example, those where the funding arrangements are guaranteed by the Central Government, going concern risks may arise, but are not limited to, situations where such type of entities operate on a for-profit basis, where government support may be reduced or withdrawn, or in the case of privatisation. Events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on an entity's ability to continue as a going concern may include situations where such type of entity lacks funding for its continued existence or when policy decisions are made that affect the services provided by such an entity. However, the auditor should consider the risk that the going concern assumption may no longer be appropriate. The following are examples of events or conditions that, individually or collectively, may cast significant doubt about the going concern assumption. This listing is not all-inclusive nor does the existence of one or more of the items always signify that a material uncertainty exists.

Financial

- Net liability or net current liability position.
- Fixed-term borrowings approaching maturity without realistic prospects of renewal or repayment; or excessive reliance on short-term borrowings to finance long-term assets.
- Indications of withdrawal of financial support by trade payables.
- Negative operating cash flows indicated by historical or prospective financial statements.
- Adverse key financial ratios.

- Substantial operating losses or significant deterioration in the value of assets used to generate cash flows.
- Arrears or discontinuance of dividends.
- Inability to pay trade payables on due dates.
- Inability to comply with the terms of loan agreements.
- Change from credit to cash-on-delivery transactions with suppliers.
- Inability to obtain financing for essential new product development or other essential investments.

Operating

- Management intentions to liquidate the entity or to cease operations.
- Loss of key management without replacement.
- Loss of a major market, key customer(s), franchise, license, or principal supplier(s).
- Labour difficulties.
- Shortages of important supplies.
- Emergence of a highly successful competitor.

Other

- Non-compliance with capital or other statutory requirements.
- Pending legal or regulatory proceedings against the entity that may, if successful, result in claims that the entity is unlikely to be able to satisfy.
- Changes in law or regulation or government policy expected to adversely affect the entity.
- Uninsured or underinsured catastrophes when they occur.

The significance of such events or conditions often can be mitigated by other factors. For example, the effect of an entity being unable to make its normal debt repayments may be counter-balanced by management's plans to maintain adequate cash flows by alternative means, such as by disposing of assets, rescheduling loan repayments, or obtaining additional capital. Similarly, the loss of a principal supplier may be mitigated by the availability of a suitable alternative source of supply.

The risk assessment procedures help the auditor to determine whether management's use of the going concern assumption is likely to be an important issue and its impact on planning the audit. These procedures also allow for more timely discussions with management, including a discussion of management's plans and resolution of any identified going concern issues.

Question 21

The auditor of a limited company has given a clean report on the financial statement on the basis of Xerox copies of the books of accounts, Vouchers and other records which were taken away by the Income-tax Department in search under section 132 of the I.T. Act, 1961.

(5 Marks, November, 2004)

Answer

Reliability of Audit Evidence: As per SA 500 on "Audit Evidence", the reliability of information to be used as audit evidence, and therefore of the audit evidence itself, is influenced by its source and its nature, and the circumstances under which it is obtained, including the controls over its preparation and maintenance where relevant. Therefore, generalisations about the reliability of various kinds of audit evidence are subject to important exceptions. Even when information to be used as audit evidence is obtained from sources external to the entity, circumstances may exist that could affect its reliability. For example, information obtained from an independent external source may not be reliable if the source is not knowledgeable, or a management's expert may lack objectivity. While recognising that exceptions may exist, the following generalisations about the reliability of audit evidence may be useful-

(i) The reliability of audit evidence is increased when it is obtained from independent sources outside the entity.

(ii) The reliability of audit evidence that is generated internally is increased when the related controls, including those over its preparation and maintenance, imposed by the entity are effective.

(iii) Audit evidence obtained directly by the auditor (for example, observation of the application of a control) is more reliable than audit evidence obtained indirectly or by inference (for example, inquiry about the application of a control).

(iv) Audit evidence in documentary form, whether paper, electronic, or other medium, is more reliable than evidence obtained orally (for example, a contemporaneously written record of a meeting is more reliable than a subsequent oral representation of the matters discussed).

(v) Audit evidence provided by original documents is more reliable than audit evidence provided by photocopies or facsimiles, or documents that have been filmed, digitized or otherwise transformed into electronic form, the reliability of which may depend on the controls over their preparation and maintenance.

Applying the above, the degree of reliance which can be placed by the auditor on the documentary audit evidence available in the present case will be considerably increased if the xerox copies of account books and vouchers are certified to be true copies by the Income Tax Department. If the tax authorities refuse to certify the same, the auditor should get the certificate to this effect from the management of the company.

The auditor should use procedure like confirmation of balances from third parties, inspection of tangible assets, etc. and obtain evidence which corroborates the documentary evidence available. In any case, the auditor has to satisfy himself that he has obtained sufficient and appropriate audit evidence to support the figures contained in the financial statements and formulate his opinion accordingly. Under such circumstances, the auditor should have appropriately modified his report and bring this fact to the attention of shareholders. In case he was satisfied, a simple paragraph of information was enough but in case the auditor failed to establish the reliability of evidence available, he would be required to a disclaimer of opinion.